In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 11179 of 2006

Date of Decision: 01.12.2006

Naresh Kumar

…Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J. (Oral)

Written statement on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has been filed in the Court today and a copy thereof has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

After perusing the written statement we find that the Director General of Police-respondent No. 2 has withdrawn the departmental inquiry for want of permission under rule 16.38 of the

Punjab Police rules, 1934. However, after following the procedure laid down in Rule 16.38, the departmental inquiry might be initiated. The order dated 29.11.2006 to that effect has been attached with the written statement (R-1). Accordingly, the writ petition is rendered infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)

December 1, 2006
JUDGE

Pkapoor